

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
THIRD JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
WAYNE COUNTY****ORDER DENYING OR DISMISSING
PETITION FOR
PERSONAL PROTECTION ORDER****CASE NO.
24-112909-PP****Court address**

Two Woodward Avenue, Room 1801, Coleman A. Young Municipal Center, Detroit, MI 48226

Court telephone no.

(313)224-0120

Petitioner's name

Gregory Jones

Address and telephone no. where court can reach petitioner

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL, Cell: 000-000-0000

v

Respondent's name, address, telephone no.

Tenisha Hampton

8301 16 1/2 Mile Rd

Apt 11

Sterling Heights, MI 48312

Home: 313-953-1572

Date: 11/1/24

Judge: Yvonna C. Abraham

P70405

Bar no.

1. This order is entered ☒ without a hearing. ☐ **after hearing.**THE COURT FINDS:**

2. ☒ a. A petition was filed for a personal protection order in a domestic relationship.
☒ There is not reasonable cause to believe the respondent may commit one or more of the acts listed in MCL 600.2950(1).
- ☐ b. A petition was filed for a personal protection order in a nondomestic relationship.
☐ Respondent has not committed two or more acts of willful, unconsented contact.
- ☐ c. A petition was filed for a nondomestic sexual assault personal protection order.
- ☐ d. Other: _____
- ☐ 3. The petitioner requested an ex parte order.
- ☐ a. The petitioner has been interviewed, the petitioner's claims are sufficiently without merit, and the action should be dismissed without hearing.
- ☐ b. An ex parte order should not be issued, but the petitioner has been advised of the right to request hearing on petition.
- ☐ c. Other: _____

IT IS ORDERED:

4. ☒ a. The petition for personal protection order is denied for the following reasons: (Specified below.)
- ☐ b. The petition for an ex parte personal protection order is denied with notice of right to request a hearing for the following reasons: (Specific below.)
- ☐ c. The petition for an ex parte personal protection order is dismissed without notice of the right to request a hearing because the petitioner's claim are sufficiently without merit for the following reasons: (Specified below.)

SEE ATTACHED

11/1/24

Date

Yvonna C. Abraham

Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

☐ I certify that on this date I personally served a copy of this order on the petitioner at _____
 _____ at _____
 _____ Time

☐ I certify that on this date I mailed a copy of this order to the petitioner by first-class mail addressed to his/her last known address.

☐ I certify that I ☐ personally served a copy of this order on the respondent at _____
 _____ at _____
 _____ Time

☐ served a copy of this order on the respondent by first-class mail addressed to his/her last known address.

because the respondent was served with a copy of the petition for a personal protection order.

Date

Court clerk

STATE OF MICHIGAN THIRD JUDICIAL CIRCUIT WAYNE COUNTY	ADDENDUM TO ORDER DENYING OR DISMISSING PETITION FOR PERSONAL PROTECTION ORDER	CASE NO. 24-112909-PP
Court address Two Woodward Avenue, Room 1801, Coleman A. Young Municipal Center, Detroit, MI 48226		Court telephone no. (313)224-0120
Petitioner's name Gregory Jones	V	Respondent's name, address, and telephone no. Tenisha Hampton
Address and telephone no. where court can reach petitioner CONFIDENTIAL CONFIDENTIAL, Cell: 000-000-0000		8301 16 1/2 Mile Rd Apt 11 Sterling Heights, MI 48312 Home: 313-953-1572 Age 45

**ADDENDUM TO ORDER DENYING OR
DISMISSING PETITION FOR
PERSONAL PROTECTION ORDER**

- ☒ The Petition fails to clearly establish by specific facts and there is not reasonable cause to believe that the Petitioner was entitled to a Personal Protection Order per MCL 600.2950.
- ☐ The Petition fails to clearly establish that the Petitioner is being stalked as defined by MCL 600.2950a, 750.711h, 750.114i.
- ☐ The Petition fails to clearly establish by specific fact 2 or more acts that constitute stalking behavior.
- ☐ The Petition fails to clearly establish reasonable cause to believe that a serious or irreparable injury will occur if the Petition is not granted immediately and without notice to the Respondent. The matter is set for hearing. A separate Notice of Hearing shall be entered contemporaneously with this Order.
- ☐ Another PPO exists, and a hearing with both parties present is necessary.
- ☐ This problem appears to relate to a custody and/or parenting time issue/s and both parties need to be present to determine if a PPO should issue and/or if the custody and/or parenting time order should be modified.
- ☐ The petitioner is a prisoner, as defined under MCL 600.2950a(29)(c)
- ☐ A PPO is not a substitute for an Order for Exclusive Use of the Marital Home.
- ☐ A PPO is not a substitute for an eviction proceeding.
- ☐ Other: _____